Copies of the disbursement list are now available in the controller's office

ROSEVILLE GOODFELLOWS INC.
"NO KIDDIE WITHOUT A CHRISTMAS"
PO BOX 202 ROSEVILLE, MI. 48066

CITY MANAGER
STEVE TRUMAM

SEPT.21,2010

THE ROSEVILLE GOODFELLOWS WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE OK TO HAVE OUR PAPER SALE ON THE STREETS OF ROSEVILLE ON NOV.26 AND NOV. 27 2010 THIS YEAR. WITH BAD WEATHER DAYS OF DEC.3, 2010 AND DEC. 4, 2010 .

PLEASE LET ME KNOW WHEN I WILL NEED TO BE AT A CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT THIS.

YOU CAN REACH ME AT MY HOME PHONE

THANK YOU

EDWARD J. YOUNG SR.
ROSEVILLE GOODFELLOWS PRESIDENT

Notified
9-22-10

INTER-OFFICE MEMO

TO: Chief Pachla

FROM: Steve Truman

DATE: September 22, 2010

SUBJECT: Annual Goodfellows Paper Sale
Nov. 26 & 27 (Bad Weather Dec. 3 & Dec. 4)

We have received the annual request from the Roseville Goodfellows to conduct their Paper Sale on the city streets November 26-27, with bad weather dates of December 3 and December 4.

The Goodfellows have conducted this event for many years and have remained in compliance with the Guidelines established for soliciting donations on streets in Roseville.

Please review this request and submit a recommendation so this item may appear on the September 28th agenda for Council action.

/yk

Attachment

ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Interoffice Memo

TO: Steve Truman, City Manager

FROM: Chief Michael Pachla

DATE: September 23, 2010

RE: Goodfellows Annual Paper Sale
November 26 & 27, 2010
Bad Weather Dates December 3 & December 4, 2010

This Department has reviewed the request of the Roseville Goodfellows to conduct their annual paper sale on the city streets November 26 & 27, 2010 with bad weather dates of December 3 & December 4, 2010 and does not have any objections.

MP/hs

MOTION MADE BY _____

MOTION SECONDED BY _____

TO AMEND THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CHAPTER 51, SECTION 51-10 AND SECTION 51-11, TO AUTHORIZE THE SALE OF OBSOLETE AND UNUSABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEN THE ESTIMATED VALUE SHALL EXCEED $10,000 BY A FORMAL WRITTEN CONTRACT, TO PROVIDE FOR BIDDING BY OTHER TECHNOLOGICAL MEANS, TO PROVIDE FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

CITY OF ROSEVILLE
MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CHAPTER 51, SECTION 51-10 AND SECTION 51-11, TO AUTHORIZE THE SALE OF OBSOLETE AND UNUSABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEN THE ESTIMATED VALUE SHALL EXCEED $10,000 BY A FORMAL WRITTEN CONTRACT, TO PROVIDE FOR BIDDING BY AUCTION WEBSITE, TO PROVIDE FOR REPEALER, SEVERABILITY AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

THE CITY OF ROSEVILLE ORDAINS:

Section 1. Chapter 51, § 51-10, the last sentence is hereby amended to provide as follows:

"All sales of personal property which have become obsolete and unusable, when the estimated value shall exceed Ten Thousand Dollars ($10,000), shall be sold by formal written contract to the highest responsible bidder after due notice inviting proposals in accordance with the following procedures:"

Section 2. Chapter 51, § 51-10, A(1), is hereby amended by providing as follows:

Notice inviting bids for purchases and/or contractual services, except as otherwise provided herein, shall be published once in a newspaper having general circulation in the City at least five days preceding the last day set for the receipt of proposals. The newspaper notice required herein shall include a general description of the articles to be purchased and/or contracted for and shall state where bid blanks and specifications may be secured and the time and place for opening of bids.

Section 3. Chapter 51, § 51-10, A is hereby amended by adding paragraph (4) to provide as follows:

"Alternate Technologies. The agent may also advertise all sales, including a general description of the articles to be sold, via the internet or other means as such technology becomes available, so as to generate interest in the items being sold and where it is in the City's best economic interests to do so."

Section 4. Chapter 51, § 51-11, is hereby amended by increasing the "estimated value" for sales from "$2,500" to "Ten Thousand Dollars ($10,000)".

Section 5. Chapter 51, § 51-11, B, is hereby amended by adding at the end of the sentence "or internet, or other technologies likely to generate interest in the items being sold and in the City's best economic interest to do so."

Section 6. All remaining paragraphs and sentences of the aforementioned amended Chapters and Sections shall remain in full force and effect.

Section 7. **Repealer.** All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed only to the extent necessary to give this ordinance full force and effect.

Section 8. **Severability.** If any article, section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this ordinance is held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of remaining portions of the ordinance, being the intent of the City that this ordinance shall be fully severable.

Section 9. **Effective date.** Provisions of this Ordinance shall become effective twenty (20) days following adoption.

AYES _____

NAYS _____

ABSENT _____

_____
JOHN CHIRKUN, Mayor

Attested:

_____
RICHARD STEENLAND, City Clerk

I, RICHARD STEENLAND, City Clerk of the City of Roseville, Macomb County, Michigan, do hereby certify that Ordinance No. _____ was adopted by the City Council of Roseville, assembled in regular session on _____ _____, 2010. Said Ordinance was posted in the following places:

Roseville Police Station, 29753 Gratiot Avenue
Roseville Public Library, 29777 Gratiot Avenue
Roseville Civic Center, 29777 Gratiot Avenue

Notice of said posting was published in *The Macomb Daily* on _____ _____, 2010.

_____
RICHARD STEENLAND, City Clerk

Engineering Department (City of Roseville)

From: Steve Minton [mintons@michigan.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2010 11:15 AM
To: Engineering Department (City of Roseville)
Subject: Re: M-97

Steve,

The Act 51 Participation for the City of Roseville for the project as a whole is ROUGHLY estimated to be **$330,000** without sidewalk. Basically, 2.041% of the estimated construction, PE, CE and current ROW budget. This amount is based on very early estimates of the project and without a firm idea of the cost of ROW.

Adding the sidewalk, assuming worst case scenario of sidewalk on both sides of Groesbeck between Hayes and 13 Mile, the City's Act 51 participation in those costs would be roughly **$10,000** for the construction and another **$15,000** for ROW assuming $750,000 worth of ROW which is just a guess at this point for a total of **$25,000** worth of additional participation costs for the sidewalk.

I have to stress these are just preliminary ball park numbers with the big variable being ROW. The more sidewalk we try to construct, the more ROW we will need, which will mean more participation costs for the City.

Please let me know if you need additional information.

Steven Minton, P.E.
Cost & Scheduling Engineer
Michigan Department of Transportation - Macomb TSC
38257 Mound Road
Sterling Heights, MI 48310
(586) 978-1935 ext 264
Fax: (586) 978-8075

>>> "Engineering Department (City of Roseville)" <engineering@Roseville-mi.gov> 9/21/2010 3:58PM >>>

Steve,

The City Manager has placed the question regarding installation of sidewalk along Groesbeck Highway on the City Council agenda for September 28th, 2010. Is it possible, I know it would only be an estimate, to have an approximate cost of what the City's share of construction for the sidewalk would be. Please feel free to call my cell phone if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Steve Wietecha

Yvette Krellwitz (City of Roseville)

From: Steve Truman (City of Roseville)
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2010 2:50 PM
To: Yvette Krellwitz (City of Roseville)
Subject: FW: Preference for Sidewalk along Groesbeck between Hayes and 13 Mile

From: Steve Minton [mailto:mintons@michigan.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 1:08 PM
To: Engineering Department (City of Roseville)
Subject: RE: Preference for Sidewalk along Groesbeck between Hayes and 13 Mile

Steve,

There would be no cost to the City for the sidewalk other than the Act 51 participating cost of the complete project as a whole. In 2006, the Feds changes their rules and allowed us to build sidewalk with federally participating project dollars. Once constructed, the City would be responsible for all future maintenance including liability, removal of debris, snow and ice, and replacement of damaged segments. This would be confirmed with an agreement.

Steve

>>> "Engineering Department (City of Roseville)" <engineering@Roseville-mi.gov> 9/15/2010 3:49PM >>>

Steve,

I spoke with our City Manager regarding this request and he will discuss this with City Council but the main concern, as always, will be what the cost would be for the sidewalk. Would be City be responsible for a percentage of the cost of the sidewalk or the full cost for the installation?

Thank you,

Steve

From: Steve Minton [mailto:mintons@michigan.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 2:30 PM
To: Engineering Department (City of Roseville)
Subject: Preference for Sidewalk along Groesbeck between Hayes and 13 Mile

Steve,

During our meeting for the M-97 project, you indicated the City of Roseville may not be interested in having sidewalk constructed along Groesbeck. I need to confirm the City's desire for sidewalk or not in order to move forward with the project due to the significant impact on schedule and ROW cost.

Currently, there is a small amount sidewalk near Hayes on the west side of Groesbeck. There is also a short run of sidewalk in front of the gas station in the southeast quadrant of Groesbeck and Martin. There is a fair amount (<500') of sidewalk on both the east and west sides of Groesbeck south and north of 12 Mile Road. There is also a fair amount of sidewalk on both sides of Groesbeck south of 13 Mile Road.

Please indicate if the City of Roseville would prefer MDOT to try construct a complete sidewalk system on both sides of Groesbeck, or just one side of Groesbeck, or not at all. As discussed during the meeting, sidewalk installation in some areas will be difficult and will require the acquisition of costly ROW. Even if the City would like a complete system, it may not be possible. ADA compliant ramps will be constructed at all intersections.

I will need a response as soon as possible so we can begin the ROW process. An initial email response will suffice but I would also ask a formal letter from the City be sent to me outlining the City's preferences for our file. I have been told if the locals aren't interested in constructing sidewalk then we are to heed to their wishes since they will ultimately be responsible for the future maintenance and replacement.

I will also be asking Fraser the same questions.

Sincerely,

Steven Minton, P.E.
Cost & Scheduling Engineer
Michigan Department of Transportation - Macomb TSC
38257 Mound Road
Sterling Heights, MI 48310
(586) 978-1935 ext 264
Fax: (586) 978-8075